

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

real estate of the debtor, section 3571 makes the lien enforceable in equity, and section 3577 fixes the life of a judgment at 20 years from return day of execution issued within the year on which there is return, the creditor may enforce his lien upon real estate once owned by the debtor only while the debtor has some interest therein, and when title by adverse possession under section 2915 has been acquired by one not in privity with the debtor, the rights of the judgment creditor cease.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 224.]

20. Limitation of Actions (§ 36 (1)*)—When Statute Is Applicable—Equity Suit.—In a creditors' suit to subject land of its debtor to lien of its judgment, though the suit is in equity, the bar of Code 1904, § 2915, preventing recovery of land after 15 years must be applied; the effect of such a suit being to try title.

Burks, J., dissenting in part.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 9 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 380.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Montgomery County.

Bill by W. H. Pierce and others against W. D. Martin and others, administrators, in which McClanahan's administrator filed a petition, and W. J. Blair filed an amended petition, making the Norfolk & Western Railway Company and others defendants. Decree dismissing the bill as to the railway company, and the complainants appeal. Affirmed.

Randolph Harrison, of Lynchburg, R. E. Scott, of Richmond, and H. T. Hall, of Roanoke, for appellants.

Roy B. Smith, Everett Perkins, L. H. Cocke, Staples & Cocke and W. W. Coxe, all of Roancke, for appellees.

MOOMAW et. al. v. NORFOLK & W. RY. Co. et. al.

Jan. 24, 1918. [96 S. E. 477.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Montgomery County.

Suit by one Moomaw and others against the Norfolk & Western Railway Company and others. From the decree rendered, Moomaw and others appeal. Affirmed.

T. W. Miller, C. S. McNulty, M. M. Caldwell, Jackson & Henson, and H. M. Moomaw, all of Roanoke, for appellants.

Roy B. Smith, Everett Perkins, L. H. Cocke, Staples & Cocke and W. W. Coxe, all of Roanoke, for appellees.

Affirmed without opinion on the authority of McClanahan's Administrator v. Norfolk & Western Railway Co. (Va.), 96 S. E. 453.

^{*}For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes